BOUNTIFUL CITY ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE September 26, 2022				
Pre	esent:	Committee members Assistant City Planner Recording Secretary	Francisco Astorga (Chair), Brad Clawson, and Dave Badham Nicholas Lopez Hanna Welch	
1.	1. Welcome and Introductions			
	Chair	Astorga opened the meeti	ng at 5:04 p.m. and introduced all present.	
2.	Revie	Review and approval of minutes for August 15, 2022		
	MOTION: Member Dave Badham made motion to APPROVE meeting minutes, Chair Astorga seconded.			
	VOTE: The motion passed (3-0).			
3.	Review and approval of minutes for September 1, 2022			
	MOTION: Member Dave Badham made motion to APPROVE meeting minutes with changes on page seven line ten to clarify Barham's comments, Member Clawson seconded.			
	VOTE: The motion passed (3-0).			
4.	Item continued to a Future Date			
5.	211 North 800 East –Short -Term Rental Application, Jorge Morataya (Price is R Properties LLC) – Assistant City Planner, Nicholas Lopez presenting			
	Mr. M	Morataya, applicant was present		
	The meeting was adjourned and future dated due to the committee and planning department not knowing how long it would take to review the information and findings from the previous meeting, held September 1, 2022. The meeting was re-noticed as a new agenda item. Public comments will be open for comments that were not shared in previous meeting.			
	Member Dave Badham agrees that residents should be able to comment if they did not have the opportunity to do so.			
	the promemb memb	revious meeting. After doers of the LLC are not ow Astorga referenced the att	tem starting with the significant changes on the property since iscussion with the City Attorney, it is determined that the mers of the property. tachment in the packet, the City Attorney's Report stating that the LLC is the property owner not the members.	

1 2

Member Brad Clawson noted that was the information that was needed in the previous meeting and the committee's other members agreed.

Nicholas also reported that Bountiful City received a document from the applicant, a deed listing him as the primary owner of the residence. It indicated that the owner has changed from the LLC to the applicant.

Member Dave Badham informed the committee that the document is not listed in the packet and should not be considered during this session but could be considered during a reapplication of the item.

Chair Astorga clarified that noticing cannot be done same day, meaning that a new application could not come in the same day to get on an agenda for a meeting. But new documentation for a current item does not require new noticing, and there is not a deadline for such situations. Therefore, it is in the decision of the land use authority to consider such items, and the administrative committee is the land use authority.

If the document is not accepted, the committee can move forward, but it could be reestablished as an item for a future meeting.

Member Dave Badham inquired if this has been reviewed by the City Attorney, Clint Drake.

Chair Astorga reported that the Document was stamped by the city recorders office at 1:57 PM, and so it is an authentic document.

Member Dave Badham questioned the integrity of the document because it does not indicate how much the property was bought for by Mr. Morataya. The example of, if the land was just given to Mr. Morataya for 10 dollars, committee member does not think that is fair.

Chair Astorga that the amount in inconsequential because that is not a question for the Short-Term Rental Process.

Member Brad Clawson stated that this settles the deliberation for the property owner.

Chair Astorga asked the members of the committee if they would accept this document and continue with the item today.

All members agreed.

Nicholas Lopez presented the item as a single-family dwelling in the R-4 Zone. The IADU has been previously accepted at this residence. The property was built in 1960 and can accommodate 5 parking spaces. It is subject to noise ordinance.

The previous packet listed the square footage as 2,025 that has been amended to 1,884 square feet per the county accessors office in August of 2021. Under International Building Code enacted by the city for Short-Term Rentals it is advised that the max occupancy for the Short-Term Rental be 9 people if family, or 4 unrelated individuals staying with bountiful City code.

1 2

Chair Astorga asked Nicholas Lopez to expound on the definition of a Family. Nicholas Lopez stated Utah Law that there can only be up to 4 unrelated individuals living together unless on a university campus.

Member Dave Badham inquired that only 4 people can rent the Short-Term Rental.

Nicholas Lopez clarified that only if they are unrelated can 4 people rent the space, but if it is family according to the Utah State Law there can be 9.

Member Dave Badham inquired if that was for the top and the bottom floors.

Chair Astorga and Nicholas Lopez clarified that the floors are looked at as separate in the case of a Short-Term Rental and the numbers of occupancy are determined by the International Building Code and the IRC

Member Dave Badham does not agree with these representations of the code and believes that it should be 4 people total in the house.

Chair Astorga illustrated an example to show the meaning of the code.

Member Brad Clawson confirmed that he understood the reasoning for the code.

Chair Astorga opened the session for public comment at 5:45 PM

231 North 800 East- Mentioned his comments from the previous meeting. Told the committee that the case had be ongoing for 6 months, and that the community has sent over 90 photos of the residence in question to the city. He stated that he believes that city employees could have put a stop to this but chose not to and it left neighbors without recourse. He believes that they will continue to suffer. He also believes that the committee has power to stop the application.

Casey Going- Noted that there was a week with not renters and tells the city they are on tenuous legal ground. Stated that there have been problems with vandalism in the neighbor hood and that the legal notice sign was taken down before the 10 days of noticing was up.

Chair Astorga noted that the city was aware the sign was not up for the full 10 days, as Mr. Morataya made the city aware that that sign had gone missing that Thursday.

Reed Hollowell- States his appreciation if the committee were to take time to review the legal document. He doesn't want to cross lines, but wants to ask rhetorical questions, Has the ownership been reviewed? Questioned if the ADU need to be reviewed after the new ownership today? States that he does not believe that there are grounds for a Short-Term Rental meeting for the address. Wants the Single-Family Residential zone treated with respect, as it stands regulations have been disregarded for months.

David Glover- Reiterated that he believes that the process needs to be re- started because of the deed that was sent to the city today, and his disappointment in the city. He feels as though the city code, ordinances and enforcement has not been satisfactory. He plans to talk to city

1 council and has called the police because of damage caused by renters. 2 3 Closed Public Comment at 6:04 PM 4 5 Member Dave Badham informed the attendants that it is in their best interest to attend Planning 6 Commission and City Counsel meetings before ordinances are passed for Bountiful City. 7 Member Badham expressed appreciation for the comments from the residents. 8 9 Chair Astorga addressed some of the comments from the public beginning with Public Notices 10 and the requirement of such. The applicants were told not to rent out while going through the process. The vandalism in the area is only recorded by Mr. Glover and the case was closed by 11 12 bountiful police because of insufficient evidence. According to the Police that is the first call 13 they have received. 14 15 Nicholas Lopez indicated that the first contact that was made between the city and the neighborhood was June 8th, 2022, via email. Lopez also informed the committee that picture 16 have been sent in but not in the numbers that the public is referring to. 17 18 19 Chair Astorga informed the public the code enforcement can take non-compliant residents to 20 court and the offense for breaking code is a Class C Misdemeanor. The city does not dole out 21 punishment of that nature lightly, because there are cases where compliance is not possible. 22 Being lenient is in the best interest of the city as well as the resident. 23 24 Chair Astorga asked for comments from the committee. 25 26 Member Brad Clawson inquired about the validity of the Internal Accessory Dwelling Unit 27 and the process that is in place to receive a Deed Restriction. Also inquired about who signed 28 the Short-Term Rental Application. 29 30 Nicholas Lopez reported that the Internal Accessory Dwelling Unit Deed Restriction follows 31 the property not the owner, unlike the Short-Term Rental. The application was signed by Mr. 32 Monataya in August of 2022. 33 34 Member Dave Badham feels that at the time of the signature Mr. Monataya lacked authority 35 for the property. Member Badham indicated that he is ready to make a motion 36 37 MOTION: Committee Member Badham made Motion to DENY the application for Shot-38 Term Rental at 211 North 800 East Based on the facts and finding that the paperwork doesn't 39 fit the timing of the application. No second. 40 41 **MOTIOIN DIES** 42 43 MOTION: Member Brad Clawson made motion to APPROVE application for Short- Term Rental at 211 North 800 East based on recommendation of staff. 44 45

Chair Astorga substituted and amended that item number seven to the area be amended and Condition of Approval with specific timing that the application gets signed after meeting. Seconded by Chair Astorga.

Bountiful City Administrative Committee Approved Minutes September 26, 2022 Page 5 of 5

VOTE: The motion passed (2-1)

Chair Astorga ascertained there were no further items of business. The meeting was adjourned at 6:45 p.m.