1 2		Approved Minutes of the BOUNTIFUL CITY ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE
3		<b>September 28, 2020</b>
4		5:00 p.m.
5		
6	<b>Present</b> :	Chairman - Francisco Astorga; Committee Members - Brad Clawson and Scott
7		Schlegel; City Planner – Curtis Poole
8	<b>Excused</b> :	Committee Member – Dave Badham; Recording Secretary – Darlene Baetz
9		
10	1. Welcome and Introductions.	
11		
12	Chairman Astorga opened the meeting at 5:00 p.m. and introduced all present.	
13		
14	2. Consider approval of minutes for August 24, 2020.	
15		

17 18 19

16

## 3. Consider a Conditional Use Permit for an Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) at 1283 Beverly Way – Spencer and Jessica Hale, applicants.

Mr. Clawson made a motion to approve the minutes for August 24, 2020 with one correction

to the starting time of the meeting. Mr. Astorga seconded the motion. Voting was 3-0 with

21 22 23

20

Spencer Hale, applicant, was present. Curtis Poole presented the staff report.

Committee Members Astorga, and Clawson voted ave with Schlegel abstaining.

24 25

26

27 28

29

30

The Applicant's property is in the R-3 Single-Family Residential zone. This will be for a basement apartment that will consist of a 1 bedroom, bathroom, kitchen and living space with a total used space of 13% of the entire home. The entrance for the unit will be at the rear of the home. There is adequate parking to accommodate for the property owners and the ADU tenants. The layout of the basement of the home was setup for an ADU however, the previous owners used it for family and not for a rental. The applicants have a building permit for electrical work in the Engineering office for approval.

31 32 33

Staff recommends the Administrative Committee approve the Conditional Use Permit to allow for an Accessory Dwelling Unit at 1283 Beverly Way subject to the following conditions:

35 36

37 38

39

40

41

42

34

- 1. The Owner(s) of the property must continually occupy the primary dwelling or the ADU.
- 2. The property is to be used only as a Single-Family residence and shall be subject to a Deed Restriction.
  - 3. There shall be no separate utility service connections.
  - 4. The Applicants shall apply separately for a building permit to be reviewed and inspected by Staff.
  - 5. The ADU shall meet all the standards in 14-14-124 of the City Land Use Code.
  - 6. The Conditional Use Permit is solely for this property and is non-transferable.

43 44

45 Chairman Astorga opened and closed the **PUBLIC HEARING** at 5:08 p.m. without any public 46 comment.

Mr. Schlegel made a motion to approve a Conditional Use Permit for an Accessory Dwelling Unit located at 1283 Beverly Way as written. Mr. Clawson seconded the motion. Voting was 3-0 with Committee Members Astorga, Clawson and Schlegel voted aye.

Mr. Schlegel made a motion to approve the Conditional Use Permit **in written form** for an Accessory Dwelling Unit located at 1283 Beverly Way. Mr. Clawson seconded the motion. Voting was 3-0 with Committee Members Astorga, Clawson and Schlegel voted aye.

## 4. Consider a Conditional Use Permit for an Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) at 445 Spring Creek Dr. – Teresa Upton and Julie Connelly, applicants.

Teresa Upton and Julie Connelly were present. Curtis Poole presented the staff report.

The Applicants' property is in the R-4 Single-Family Residential Zone. The Applicants are requesting approval of an existing basement apartment to be used as an ADU. Information submitted by the Applicants shows the proposed ADU will consist of one (1) bedroom, a bathroom, kitchen, living space, laundry room, and storage room. The total used space for the unit will be 38.9% which is under the 40% standard in the Code. The property will meet the parking standard required for approval with the existing two (2) car garage and wide driveway.

Staff recommends the Administrative Committee approve the Conditional Use Permit to allow for an Accessory Dwelling Unit at 445 Spring Creek Drive subject to the following conditions:

- 1. The Owner(s) of the property must continually occupy the primary dwelling or the ADU.
- 2. The property is to be used only as a Single-Family residence and shall be subject to a Deed Restriction.
- 3. There shall be no separate utility service connections.
- 4. The ADU shall meet all the standards in 14-14-124 of the City Land Use Code.
- 5. The Conditional Use Permit is solely for this property and is non-transferable.

The applicants stated the entrance for the ADU has a walkway leading on either side of the home. Ms. Connelly noted there will be a basement closet for the ADU to use and a mechanical room that is shared with the entire home.

Mr. Schlegel discussed the included closet measurements would raise the total used space for the unit to 39.89% which is still under the 40% standard in the Code.

Chairman Astorga opened and closed the **PUBLIC HEARING** at 5:19 p.m. without any public comment.

Mr. Clawson made a motion to approve a Conditional Use Permit for an Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) located at 445 Spring Creek Dr as written. Mr. Schlegel seconded the motion. Voting was 3-0 with Committee Members Astorga, Clawson and Schlegel voted aye.

Mr. Clawson made a motion to approve the Conditional Use Permit **in written form** for an Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) located at 445 Spring Creek Dr. Mr. Schlegel seconded the motion. Voting was 3-0 with Committee Members Astorga, Clawson and Schlegel voted aye.

 

## 5. Miscellaneous business and scheduling.

## a. Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) Discussion

Chairman Astorga introduced to the Committee a proposal with amendments to the ADU Code and definition. Mr. Astorga mentioned that this is only a discussion today's meeting and a courtesy for the Committee.

Mr. Astorga mentioned amendments regarding the current definition as it is lengthy, some parts unnecessary, and provides conflict with the number of occupants based on the current definition of "family". The current Code does not provide a parking ratio to an ADU, but simply mimics the standard for a single-family dwelling, consisting of four (4) parking spaces, while mentioning an intent to rely on adequate parking based on actual ADU occupant vehicle(s). Due to the flexible nature of vehicle ownership, Staff recommends quantifying a ratio typical to standard parking practice. Staff also proposes to limit ADUs to a maximum of two (2) bedrooms and in no case be more than one thousand (1,000) square feet to mitigate the impacts of parking, as well as effects of moderate-income housing, as there is a correlation between dwelling unit size and affordability.

Staff and the Administrative Committee have expressed concerns as how to allocate unique spaces in relationship to the 40% maximum restriction of the total floor area square footage of the primary structure. Staff proposes to provide a more concise standard in what counts towards the ADU versus the principal unit. The proposed amendment to the definition also assists in this regard as the simpler definition focuses more on the self-contained component. Staff and the Administrative Committee have struggled with proposals having a combined entry, where the applicant makes their case that the entry/hallway/staircase counts for the principal unit, although it is also used by ADU occupant(s). It is also proposed to create a standard requiring a dedicated separate entrance for the ADU as the current Code has great language that restricts the structure as being perceived as a Two-Family dwelling (duplex) which would fortify the self-contained component found in the definition, and clear up which areas count toward which unit.

Committee member Schlegel and Clawson agreed with the proposal. The Committee recognized that compliance with the minimum bedroom size can be taken out as compliance with the building is being required. Committee member Schlegel suggested better language for the separate dedicated entrance and suggesting splitting the "vestibule entries" between the principal and the accessory unit areas. Mr. Schlegel also indicated that he preferred that the ADU needs to be placed behind the midpoint line of the principal dwelling instead of behind the front building line as proposed by staff.

Chairman Astorga ascertained there were no further items of business. The meeting was adjourned at 5:30 p.m.

Francisco Astorga

Administrative Committee Chair

J. astorga