

BOUNTIFUL CITY ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE AGENDA Monday October 17, 2022 5:00 p.m.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Bountiful City Administrative Committee will hold a meeting in the Planning Department Conference Room, Bountiful City Hall at 795 South Main Street, Bountiful, Utah, 84010, at the time and on the date given above. The public is invited. Persons who are disabled as defined by the Americans with Disabilities Act may request an accommodation by contacting the Bountiful City Planning Office at (801) 298-6190. Notification at least 24 hours prior to the meeting would be appreciated.

- 1. Welcome.
- 2. Review and approval of minutes for September 26, 2022.
- 3. 174 East 470 North -Steiner Services LLC Home Occupation Conditional Use Permit, Eric Steiner, applicant Assistant City Planner Lopez
 - a. Action
 - b. Consider Approval in Form
- 4. Adjournment

		BOUNTIFUL CIT	Draft Minutes of the Y ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE September 26, 2022
Pre	esent:	Committee members	Francisco Astorga (Chair), Brad Clawson, and Dave Badham
		Assistant City Planner Recording Secretary	Nicholas Lopez Hanna Welch
1.	Welco	ome and Introductions	
	Chair	Astorga opened the meeti	ng at 5:04 p.m. and introduced all present.
2.	Revie	w and approval of minut	tes for August 15, 2022
		ION: Member Dave Bac ga seconded.	lham made motion to APPROVE meeting minutes, Chair
	VOTI	E: The motion passed (3-0)).
3.	Revie	w and approval of minu	tes for September 1, 2022
			am made motion to APPROVE meeting minutes with changes Barham's comments, Member Clawson seconded.
	VOTI	E: The motion passed (3-0).
4.	ITEN	M CONTINUED TO A D	ATE UNCERTAIN
5.			Ferm Rental Application, Jorge Morataya (Price is Right <i>ity Planner, Nicholas Lopez presenting</i>
	Mr. M	lorataya, applicant was pro	esent
	not kr meeti	nowing how long it would ng, held September 1, 202	d future dated due to the committee and planning department take to review the information and findings from the previous 22. The meeting was re-noticed as a new agenda item. Public ments that were not shared in previous meeting.
		per Dave Badham agrees to portunity to do so.	that residents should be able to comment if they did not have
	the pr memb Chair	revious meeting. After depers of the LLC are not ow Astorga referenced the att	tachment in the packet, the City Attorney's Report stating that
	the m	embers own the LLC, but	the LLC is the property owner not the members.

Member Brad Clawson noted that was the information that was needed in the previous meeting
 and the committee's other members agreed.

Nicholas also reported that Bountiful City received a document from the applicant, a deed
listing him as the primary owner of the residence. It indicated that the owner has changed from
the LLC to the applicant.

9 Member Dave Badham informed the committee that the document is not listed in the packet 10 and should not be considered during this session but could be considered during a reapplication 11 of the item.

13 Chair Astorga clarified that noticing cannot be done same day, meaning that a new application 14 could not come in the same day to get on an agenda for a meeting. But new documentation for 15 a current item does not require new noticing, and there is not a deadline for such situations. 16 Therefore, it is in the decision of the land use authority to consider such items, and the 17 administrative committee is the land use authority.

- 19 If the document is not accepted, the committee can move forward, but it could be re-20 established as an item for a future meeting.
- 22 Member Dave Badham inquired if this has been reviewed by the City Attorney, Clint Drake.

Chair Astorga reported that the Document was stamped by the city recorders office at 1:57
 PM, and so it is an authentic document.

Member Dave Badham questioned the integrity of the document because it does not indicate
how much the property was bought for by Mr. Morataya. The example of, if the land was just
given to Mr. Morataya for 10 dollars, committee member does not think that is fair.

- Chair Astorga that the amount in inconsequential because that is not a question for the Short Term Rental Process.
- 34 Member Brad Clawson stated that this settles the deliberation for the property owner.

Chair Astorga asked the members of the committee if they would accept this document andcontinue with the item today.

39 All members agreed.

12

18

21

23

26

33

35

38

40

44

Nicholas Lopez presented the item as a single-family dwelling in the R-4 Zone. The IADU has
 been previously accepted at this residence. The property was built in 1960 and can
 accommodate 5 parking spaces. It is subject to noise ordinance.

The previous packet listed the square footage as 2,025 that has been amended to 1,884 square feet per the county accessors office in August of 2021. Under International Building Code enacted by the city for Short- Term Rentals it is advised that the max occupancy for the Short-Term Rental be 9 people if family, or 4 unrelated individuals staying with bountiful City code.

1	
2	Chair Astorga asked Nicholas Lopez to expound on the definition of a Family. Nicholas Lopez
3	stated Utah Law that there can only be up to 4 unrelated individuals living together unless on
4	a university campus.
5	
6	Member Dave Badham inquired that only 4 people can rent the Short- Term Rental.
7	
8	Nicholas Lopez clarified that only if they are unrelated can 4 people rent the space, but if it is
9	family according to the Utah State Law there can be 9.
10	Member Dave Badham inquired if that was for the top and the bottom floors.
11 12	Wender Dave Badhan inquired if that was for the top and the bottom noors.
13	Chair Astorga and Nicholas Lopez clarified that the floors are looked at as separate in the case
14	of a Short- Term Rental and the numbers of occupancy are determined by the International
15	Building Code and the IRC
16	
17	Member Dave Badham does not agree with these representations of the code and believes that
18	it should be 4 people total in the house.
19	
20	Chair Astorga illustrated an example to show the meaning of the code.
21	
22	Member Brad Clawson confirmed that he understood the reasoning for the code.
23	
24	Chair Astorga opened the session for public comment at 5:45 PM
25	$221 \text{ N}_{2} \neq 200 \text{ E}_{2} \neq 201 $
26	231 North 800 East-Mentioned his comments from the previous meeting. Told the committee
27 28	that the case had be ongoing for 6 months, and that the community has sent over 90 photos of the residence in question to the city. He stated that he believes that city employees could have
28 29	put a stop to this but chose not to and it left neighbors without recourse. He believes that they
30	will continue to suffer. He also believes that the committee has power to stop the application.
31	will containe to sufficient the uso some tos that the containing of the stop the application.
32	Casey Going- Noted that there was a week with not renters and tells the city they are on tenuous
33	legal ground. Stated that there have been problems with vandalism in the neighbor hood and
34	that the legal notice sign was taken down before the 10 days of noticing was up.
35	
36	Chair Astorga noted that the city was aware the sign was not up for the full 10 days, as Mr.
37	Morataya made the city aware that that sign had gone missing that Thursday.
38	
39	Reed Hollowell- States his appreciation if the committee were to take time to review the legal
40	document. He doesn't want to cross lines, but wants to ask rhetorical questions, Has the
41 42	ownership been reviewed? Questioned if the ADU need to be reviewed after the new ownership today? States that he does not believe that there are grounds for a Short- Term Rental meeting
42 43	for the address. Wants the Single-Family Residential zone treated with respect, as it stands
44	regulations have been disregarded for months.
45	regulations have been disregulated for mondus.
46	David Glover- Reiterated that he believes that the process needs to be re- started because of
47	the deed that was sent to the city today, and his disappointment in the city. He feels as though
48	the city code, ordinances and enforcement has not been satisfactory. He plans to talk to city

1 council and has called the police because of damage caused by renters. 2 3 Closed Public Comment at 6:04 PM 4 5 Member Dave Badham informed the attendants that it is in their best interest to attend Planning 6 Commission and City Counsel meetings before ordinances are passed for Bountiful City. 7 Member Badham expressed appreciation for the comments from the residents. 8 9 Chair Astorga addressed some of the comments from the public beginning with Public Notices 10 and the requirement of such. The applicants were told not to rent out while going through the process. The vandalism in the area is only recorded by Mr. Glover and the case was closed by 11 bountiful police because of insufficient evidence. According to the Police that is the first call 12 13 they have received. 14 Nicholas Lopez indicated that the first contact that was made between the city and the 15 neighborhood was June 8th, 2022, via email. Lopez also informed the committee that picture 16 17 have been sent in but not in the numbers that the public is referring to. 18 19 Chair Astorga informed the public the code enforcement can take non-compliant residents to 20 court and the offense for breaking code is a Class C Misdemeanor. The city does not dole out 21 punishment of that nature lightly, because there are cases where compliance is not possible. 22 Being lenient is in the best interest of the city as well as the resident. 23 24 Chair Astorga asked for comments from the committee. 25 Member Brad Clawson inquired about the validity of the Internal Accessory Dwelling Unit 26 27 and the process that is in place to receive a Deed Restriction. Also inquired about who signed the Short- Term Rental Application. 28 29 30 Nicholas Lopez reported that the Internal Accessory Dwelling Unit Deed Restriction follows 31 the property not the owner, unlike the Short- Term Rental. The application was signed by Mr. 32 Monataya in August of 2022. 33 34 Member Dave Badham feels that at the time of the signature Mr. Monataya lacked authority 35 for the property. Member Badham indicated that he is ready to make a motion 36 37 MOTION: Committee Member Badham made Motion to DENY the application for Shot-38 Term Rental at 211 North 800 East Based on the facts and finding that the paperwork doesn't 39 fit the timing of the application. No second. 40 41 MOTIOIN DIES 42 43 MOTION: Member Brad Clawson made motion to APPROVE application for Short- Term 44 Rental at 211 North 800 East based on recommendation of staff. 45 46 Chair Astorga substituted and amended that item number seven to the area be amended and 47 Condition of Approval with specific timing that the application gets signed after meeting. 48 Seconded by Chair Astorga.

VOTE: The motion passed (2-1)

Chair Astorga ascertained there were no further items of business. The meeting was adjourned at 6:45 p.m.

Administrative Committee Staff Report



Subject:Steiner Services LLC Home Occupation Conditional Use PermitAuthor:Nicholas Lopez, Assistant City PlannerProperty:174 East 470 NorthDate:October 17, 2022

Background

The property owner and applicant, Eric Steiner, submitted a Home Occupation Conditional Use Permit application to operate a handyman and construction home occupation at 174 East 470 North, Steiner Services, LLC. All tools associated with the home occupation are either stored in the applicant's vehicle, a pick-up truck, or home office of less than five percent (5%) of the dwelling.

Analysis

The subject site is located in the Single-Family Residential (R-4) Zone. Land Use Code § 14-17-108 indicates that a construction and/or contracting home occupation requires a Conditional Use Permit. Land Use Code § 14-17-105 indicates that a proposed home occupation shall meet the following criteria:

- A. The use shall be clearly incidental and secondary to the use of the dwelling and shall not change the appearance, character, or condition thereof. There shall be no displays, advertisements, stock in trade, or signs related to the business except for one (1) flat wall sign placed on the dwelling that shall not exceed four (4) square feet in size, and any sign required by State Law and/or which meet the provisions of this Title.
 - The applicant does not propose any changes to the dwelling affecting the appearance, character, or conditional. There are no unmitigated impacts.
- B. The use shall be conducted entirely within a dwelling, except for work performed offsite. Only members of the family related by blood, marriage, or adoption, and who reside in the dwelling, may work onsite. The only exception is that one (1) additional person may be employed as a secretary, apprentice, or assistant where there are no more than five (5) family members actively engaged in the home occupation. Employees who are not family members and/or who do not reside at the dwelling shall not meet, park, or otherwise congregate at the home or in the general vicinity. Additional outside employees are not allowed if there is more than one home occupation at the property.
 - All work is performed off-site. The only additional employee lives on sight and is the son of the applicant. There are no unmitigated impacts.

- C. The use shall not involve more than 50% of the entire dwelling.
 - The applicant indicated that less than 5% of the dwelling is to be used the site plans shows an area of the dwelling being used for tool storage.
- D. The use shall not involve the area of required, covered, off-street parking.
 - The site has a two-car garage. The site has long driveway that can accommodate four (4) parking spaces (9'x18'). The applicant has indicated using a pick-up truck for both personal use and for the proposed home occupation. There are no unmitigated impacts.
- E. No product or commodity shall be stored onsite, and no customer may physically visit the site of a home occupation to take delivery of a product or commodity. Commodities may be produced on the premises and sold offsite.
 - All work is performed off-site. The applicant has indicated a small area, less than five percent (5%) of the house to be used to store tools. There are no unmitigated impacts.
- F. The use shall not create noise, dust, odors, noxious fumes, glare, or other nuisances, including interruption of radio and/or television reception, which are discernable beyond the premises.
 - No noise, dust, odors, noxious fumes, glare, or other nuisances shall be created by this use as all work is off-site. There are no unmitigated impacts.
- G. The use shall not involve using or storing flammable material, explosive, or other dangerous materials, including gun powder.
 - No storage of the above materials will be located on-site. The applicant has indicated that pesticides and toxic chemicals are not stored onsite. There are no unmitigated impacts.
- H. The use shall not involve mechanical or electrical apparatus, equipment, or tools not commonly associated with a residential use or as are customary to home crafts.
 - No such use is being proposed to occur. The proposed use, a handyman and construction home occupation, shall be limited to apparatus, equipment and/or tools commonly associated with a residential use. Any apparatus, equipment, or tools of a commercial nature shall be expressly prohibited. As conditioned, there are no unmitigated impacts.
- I. The use shall not generate traffic in greater volumes than normally be expected in a residential neighborhood nor involve the use of commercial vehicles other than standard delivery vehicles for delivery of materials to or from the premises.
 - No traffic shall be generated at the residence for this use. There are no unmitigated impacts.

- J. The use shall be in compliance with all applicable fire, building, electrical and life safety and health codes of the State of Utah, Davis County, and the City of Bountiful.
 - No outstanding non-compliances with the following codes. There are no unmitigated impacts.
- K. The residence and property may be inspected from time to time to determine continued compliance with the provisions of Code and other applicable codes.
 - The property owner agrees to the following. There are no unmitigated impacts.

Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Administrative Committee approve the Conditional Use Permit for the handyman/construction home occupation usen at 174 East 470 South based on the following conditions of approval:

- 1. The applicant shall maintain an active Bountiful City business license.
- 2. The home occupation use shall be subject to all Home Occupation Requirements outlined in Bountiful City Land Use Code § 14-17-104 (listed in the analysis section of this staff report).
- 3. The use, a handyman and construction home occupation, shall be limited to apparatus, equipment and/or tools commonly associated with a residential use. Any apparatus, equipment, or tools of a commercial nature are expressly prohibited.
- 4. If the use, a handyman and construction home occupation, is found out of compliance with applicable codes, the City may revoke the approved Conditional Use Permit and issued business license.
- 5. The Conditional Use Permit is solely for this site and is non-transferable.

Attachments

- 1. Submitted Conditional Use Permit Application
- 2. Site Plan
- 3. Draft approval



For Office Use Only
Date Rec'd
Application Fee \$125.00
Zone

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT APPLICATION (For Home Occupation - Contractors, Construction & Landscaping, Lawn Care or Snow Removal)

Date of Submittal: 9-15-2022				
Property Address: 174 E. 470 N.				
Applicant Name: Eric Steiner Quivey Steiner				
Applicant Address: 174 E. 470 N.				
Applicant Phone #: (801) 619-7998				
Applicant E-Mail: erok 3333@proton mail. Com				
Authorization (Owner Signature):				
(If applicant is not owner, applicant must submit notarized authorization from all property owners)				
Project Name and Description: Steinar Services, LLC				
Handyman and construction services				

□ Please respond to the following questions (per Land Use Code Section 14-17-105):

- > What tools/equipment/materials will be used for the business, and where will they be stored? Rigular hand tools that I already own
- Will you use any vehicles for the business? If so, please indicate on your site plan where the vehicle(s) will be parked.
 Just the vehicles that I already own -
- How much of the home will be used for the business (percentage)? Please note that area on your site plan.
 2 5%
- Are there any employees (besides those living at the home) who will be involved in the business?

Department of Planning and Economic Development 790 South 100 East • Bountiful, Utah 84010 Phone 801.298.6190



No Pesticides / Toxic chemicals

Bountiful Administrative Committee October 17, 2022

Draft



Bountiful City, Utah Conditional Use Permit Approval of a Contractor Home Occupation Permit

A public hearing was held on October 17, 2022, at the Bountiful City Hall, located at 795 South Main Street, Bountiful, Utah 84010, to consider the request of Eric Steiner for a Conditional Use Permit allowing a Contractor Home Occupation at the following location:

174 East 470 North, Bountiful, Davis County, Utah Parcel 03-060-0022

The Bountiful City Administrative Committee heard the matter and considered the statements of the applicant, the City staff, and the public. As a result, the Administrative Committee makes the following findings:

- 1. This matter is properly heard before the Administrative Committee.
- 2. Appropriate public notice has been provided and a public hearing held.
- 3. The proposed request for a Contractor Home Occupation shall meet all the criteria in Sections 14-17-105 & 14-17-108 of the Bountiful City Land Use Code, as conditioned.
- 4. The proposed request for a Contractor Home Occupation shall meet all applicable sections of the City Code.

The Bountiful City Administrative Committee hereby grants this Conditional Use Permit for a Contractor Home Occupation as requested by Eric Steiner, to be located at 174 East 470 North, Bountiful, Davis County, Utah, with the following conditions:

- 1. The applicant shall maintain an active Bountiful City business license.
- 2. The home occupation use shall be subject to all Home Occupation Requirements outlined in Bountiful City Land Use Code § 14-17-104 (listed in the analysis section of this staff report).
- 3. The use, a handyman and construction home occupation, shall be limited to apparatus, equipment and/or tools commonly associated with a residential use. Any apparatus, equipment, or tools of a commercial nature are expressly prohibited.
- 4. If the use, a handyman and construction home occupation, is found out of compliance with applicable codes, the City may revoke the approved Conditional Use Permit and issued business license.
- 5. The Conditional Use Permit is solely for this site and is non-transferable.

The Conditional Use Permit for a Contractor Home Occupation and this written form were approved on October 17, 2022.

Francisco Astorga Administrative Committee Chair ATTEST: Hanna Welch Recording Secretary